



Affine symmetries of the equivariant quantum cohomology ring of rational homogeneous spaces

Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput, Laurent Manivel, Nicolas Perrin

► To cite this version:

Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput, Laurent Manivel, Nicolas Perrin. Affine symmetries of the equivariant quantum cohomology ring of rational homogeneous spaces. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 2009, 16, pp.7-21. hal-00330621

HAL Id: hal-00330621

<https://hal.science/hal-00330621>

Submitted on 15 Oct 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Affine symmetries of the equivariant quantum cohomology ring of rational homogeneous spaces

P.E. Chaput, L. Manivel, N. Perrin

October 15, 2008

Abstract

Let X be a rational homogeneous space and let $QH^*(X)_{loc}^\times$ be the group of invertible elements in the small quantum cohomology ring of X localised in the quantum parameters. We generalise results of [ChMaPe06] and realise explicitly the map $\pi_1(\text{Aut}(X)) \rightarrow QH^*(X)_{loc}^\times$ described in [Se97]. We even prove that this map is an embedding and realise it in the equivariant quantum cohomology ring $QH_T^*(X)_{loc}^\times$. We give explicit formulas for the product by these elements.

The proof relies on a generalisation, to a quotient of the equivariant homology ring of the affine Grassmannian, of a formula proved by Peter Magyar [Ma07]. It also uses Peterson's unpublished result [Pe97] — recently proved by Lam and Shimozono in [LaSh07] — on the comparison between the equivariant homology ring of the affine Grassmannian and the equivariant quantum cohomology ring.

1 Introduction

For G a semisimple simply connected algebraic group, the center Z of G has several interpretations. It may be canonically identified to the fundamental group $\pi_1(G^{\text{ad}})$ of the adjoint group $G^{\text{ad}} = G/Z$. Another description is

$$Z \simeq P^\vee / Q^\vee$$

where P^\vee and Q^\vee are the coweight and coroot lattices (see for example [Bo54]). Nice representatives of this quotient in P^\vee are given by the opposites of the minuscule fundamental coweights $(-\varpi_i^\vee)_{i \in I_m}$ (recall that a dominant coweight λ is minuscule if $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 0$ or 1 for any positive root α). Here I_m denotes the subset of the set I of vertices of the Dynkin diagram of G parametrising the minuscule coweights.

The group Z can also be seen as the stabiliser, in the affine Weyl group W_{aff} , of the fundamental alcove (see [LaSh07, Page 16] or [Ma07, Page 5]). By composition with the natural map $W_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow W$ to the finite Weyl group of G , one realises Z as a subgroup of W . This subgroup is given by the elements $(v_i)_{i \in I_m}$, where v_i is the smallest element of W such that $v_i \varpi_i^\vee = w_0 \varpi_i^\vee$, where w_0 denotes the longest element in W (see [LaSh07, Page 16] for example).

In a different context, Seidel proved in [Se97] that the fundamental group of the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms of a symplectic variety X can be mapped to the group $QH^*(X)_{loc}^\times$ of invertible elements of the quantum cohomology ring localised in the quantum parameters (Seidel's construction has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$; here we use the definition in [McDSa04])

Key words: equivariant quantum cohomology, homogeneous space, Schubert calculus, Gromov-Witten invariant.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 14M15, 14N35

which has integer coefficients). When $X = G/P$ is a rational homogeneous space, the natural projective structure of X induces a symplectic structure preserved by G^{ad} (recall that the center Z of G acts trivially on X), and we get a map

$$Z = \pi_1(G^{ad}) \longrightarrow QH^*(G/P)_{loc}^\times,$$

which we call Seidel's representation. According to [McDSa04], it is hard to describe this map explicitly. This has been done by A. Postnikov for Grassmannians and the variety of complete flags in type A (see [Po00] and [Po05]). In [ChMaPe06], we described explicitly Seidel's representation when $X = G/P$ is a minuscule or cominuscule homogeneous space; in particular we proved it is faithful. In this note we extend this result to all homogeneous spaces. Moreover we provide an explicit formula for the product by a class in the image of Seidel's representation, in the more general setting of the equivariant quantum cohomology ring $QH_T^*(X)_{loc}$.

To the parabolic subgroup P of G , containing a fixed Borel subgroup, we associate the set I_P of vertices of the Dynkin diagram defining P (with the convention that if P is the Borel subgroup itself, $I_P = I$ is the whole set of vertices of the Dynkin diagram). For $w \in W$, we denote by $\sigma^P(w)$ the Schubert class induced by w in $H^{2l(w)}(G/P, \mathbb{Z})$ and by η_P the natural surjection $Q^\vee \rightarrow Q^\vee/Q_P^\vee$ from the coroot lattice to its quotient by the coroot lattice of P . We prove:

Theorem 1 *For any $i \in I_m$ and any $w \in W$, we have in $QH_T^*(G/P)_{loc}$:*

$$\sigma^P(v_i) * \sigma^P(w) = q_{\eta_P(\varpi_i^\vee - w^{-1}(\varpi_i^\vee))} \sigma^P(v_i w).$$

Theorem 2 *Let f denote the Weyl involution. Seidel's representation of the group $\pi_1(G^{ad})$ in $QH^*(G/P)_{loc}^\times$ is given, for $i \in I_m$, by*

$$-\varpi_i^\vee \mapsto \sigma^P(v_{f(i)}).$$

For the complete flag variety G/B , Theorem 1 follows rather directly from a factorization theorem in the affine Grassmannian due to Magyar, and Peterson's comparison theorem between the equivariant homology of the affine Grassmannian, and the quantum cohomology of G/B (see Remark 3.18). In order to prove Theorem 1 for arbitrary flag varieties G/P , we will need to extend Magyar's result in a suitable way. This extension will rely on the introduction of certain variants of the tools introduced in [Pe97] and [LaSh07], and an important part of our work will consist in checking that some of the key statements in these papers still hold in our extended setting. Once our generalized Magyar's formula, Proposition 3.16, is established, the proof of Theorem 1 in 3.5 readily follows.

2 Peterson's map and Magyar's factorisation formula

2.1 Affine Grassmannian, affine Weyl group and extended affine Weyl group

Let us denote by ΩK the affine Grassmannian associated to the group G . This is an ind-variety which can be defined as follows. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G ; as a set, the affine Grassmannian is the set of functions $f : S^1 \rightarrow K$, such that $f(1) = 1_K$ and f can be extended to a meromorphic function $f : D \rightarrow G$ on the closed unit disk with poles only at the origin (see for example [Ma07] for more details). The affine Grassmannian ΩK may also be seen as the quotient $G(\mathbb{C}((t)))/G(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$.

The affine Weyl group W_{aff} is defined as the semidirect product of the Weyl group W by the coroot lattice Q^\vee (see [Ma07] or [LaSh07] for more details). In the same way one defines the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ as the semidirect product of W by P^\vee the coweight lattice (see [Ma07] or [LaSh07]). Elements of these groups will be denoted by wt_λ with $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in P^\vee$ or $\lambda \in Q^\vee$. We will denote by P_-^\vee the set of antidominant coweights and by \widetilde{Q} its intersection with the coroot lattice.

Note that W_{aff} is naturally a subgroup of $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$, which is normal, and that the quotient $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}/W_{\text{aff}}$ is isomorphic to P^\vee/Q^\vee and thus to Z . The stabiliser of the fundamental alcove defines a natural section $Z \rightarrow \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ of this quotient (see [Ma07, Page 5]). The opposite of the minuscule coweights $(-\varpi_i^\vee)_{i \in I_m}$ are representatives of the quotient P^\vee/Q^\vee in P^\vee . The image of $-\varpi_i^\vee$ with $i \in I_m$ by this section is the element (see [LaSh07, Page 16]):

$$\tau_i := v_i t_{-\varpi_i^\vee}.$$

Recall the definition of the affine root lattice $Q_{\text{aff}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ where α_i are the simple roots associated to the extended (or affine) Dynkin diagram. Recall also the definition of the imaginary root $\delta = \alpha_0 + \theta$ where θ is the longest root of the finite root system. For more details on the affine root lattice, see [LaSh07]. Recall also the action of the extended Weyl group $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ on the affine root lattice given by $wt_\lambda(\alpha + n\delta) = w(\alpha) + (n - \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle)\delta$. It follows that any $\tau \in Z$ induces an automorphism $i \mapsto \tau(i)$ of the Dynkin diagram defined by the formula $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{\tau(i)}$ for any simple root α_i .

We already defined the element v_i ; it is also given by $v_i = w_0 w_0^{P_i}$, where w_0 denotes the longest element of the Weyl group W , and $w_0^{P_i}$ the longest element of the Weyl group W_{P_i} of the maximal parabolic subgroup P_i of G associated to the cominuscule simple root α_i ². The element v_i is also the longest element in W^{P_i} , the set of minimal length representatives of the quotient W/W_{P_i} . This element is well understood, in particular, we shall use the following fact. Recall that the Weyl involution f is defined on roots by $\alpha \mapsto -w_0(\alpha)$ and induces an involution on the set of simple roots, that we also denote by f .

Fact 2.1 (i) We have $v_i^{-1} = v_{f(i)}$.

(ii) Let α be a positive root, then $v_i(\alpha)$ is positive if and only if $\langle \varpi_i^\vee, \alpha \rangle = 0$.

2.2 Equivariant homology

We will be interested in the equivariant homology ring $H_*^T(\Omega K)$ of ΩK for a maximal torus T in G . We refer to [Ma07] for details. Let $S = H_T^*(\text{pt})$, then $H_*^T(\Omega K)$ is a free S -module with basis $(\xi_x)_{x \in W_{\text{aff}}^-}$ where ξ_x is the class of a Schubert variety in ΩK and W_{aff}^- is the set of minimal length representatives of W_{aff}/W in W_{aff} . Note that we will assume, following [La06, Page 4], that T does not contain the rotation action of S^1 on ΩK (considered as a set of loops). This implies that the image in S of the imaginary root $\delta = \theta + \alpha_0$ is zero.

Let us denote by R the root system, by R^+ the set of positive roots and by R_P^+ the set of positive roots in P . Define the subset $(W^P)_{\text{aff}}$ of W_{aff} by

$$(W^P)_{\text{aff}} = \left\{ wt_\lambda \in W_{\text{aff}} \mid \forall \alpha \in R_P^+, \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w(\alpha) > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } w(\alpha) < 0 \end{cases} \right\}.$$

Recall that we have

$$W_{\text{aff}}^- = \{ wt_\lambda \in W_{\text{aff}} \mid \forall i, \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle = 0 \implies w(\alpha_i) > 0 \}.$$

²Recall that these elements $w_0^{P_i}$ define by $\sigma^{P_i}(u) \mapsto \sigma^{P_i}(w_0^{P_i}u)$ the strange duality in $QH_{loc}^*(G/P_i)$, cf. [ChMaPe06] and [ChMaPe07]

Lam and Shimozono proved that

$$J_P = \bigoplus_{x \in W_{\text{aff}} \setminus (W^P)_{\text{aff}}} S\xi_x$$

is an ideal in $H_*^T(\Omega K)$. Moreover, they defined a map $\pi_P : W_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow (W^P)_{\text{aff}}$ by proving that for any $x \in W_{\text{aff}}$, there exists a unique factorisation $x = x_1 x_2$ with $x_1 \in (W^P)_{\text{aff}}$ and $x_2 \in (W_P)_{\text{aff}}$ (the affine group associated to W_P). The map π_P is defined by $x \mapsto x_1$. We have the following proposition (see [LaSh07, Proposition 10.11]):

Proposition 2.2 *Let $x \in W_{\text{aff}}^- \cap (W^P)_{\text{aff}}$ and $\lambda \in \tilde{Q}$. Then $x\pi_P(t_\lambda) \in W_{\text{aff}}^- \cap (W^P)_{\text{aff}}$ and we have:*

$$\xi_x \xi_{\pi_P(t_\lambda)} = \xi_{x\pi_P(t_\lambda)} \mod J_P.$$

In particular, we may quotient and localise the equivariant homology ring as follows, and define

$$H_*^T(\Omega K)_P := (H_*^T(\Omega K)/J_P)[\xi_{\pi_P(t_\lambda)}^{-1}, \lambda \in \tilde{Q}].$$

2.3 Equivariant quantum cohomology

On the other hand, we consider the equivariant quantum cohomology ring $QH_T^*(G/P)$. For details we refer to [Mi06] and [LaSh07]. This ring is a free $S[q_i, i \in I_P]$ -module with basis given by the Schubert classes $(\sigma^P(w))_{w \in W^P}$ (where W^P is the set of minimal coset representatives of W/W_P and W_P is the Weyl group of P). We localise this ring as follows:

$$QH_T^*(G/P)_{\text{loc}} := QH_T^*(G/P)[q_i^{-1}, i \in I_P].$$

Lam and Shimozono [LaSh07, Theorem 10.16] proved the so called Peterson theorem (see also [Pe97]):

Theorem 3 *The map $\psi_P : H_*^T(\Omega K)_P \rightarrow QH_T^*(G/P)_{\text{loc}}$ defined by*

$$\xi_{w\pi_P(t_\lambda)} \xi_{\pi_P(t_\mu)}^{-1} \mapsto q_{\eta_P(\lambda-\mu)} \sigma^P(w),$$

for $w \in W^P$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \tilde{Q}$, is an isomorphism of S -algebras.

2.4 Magyar's factorisation formula

Denote by $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^-$ the set of minimal length representatives of $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}/W$ in $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$. An element $x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^-$ can be uniquely written as $x = \tau_i \hat{x}$ where $\hat{x} \in W_{\text{aff}}^-$ and $i \in I_m$. Magyar proved the following formula (see [Ma07, Theorem A], the length condition $l(x) + l(t_\lambda) = l(xt_\lambda)$ being always satisfied for x in W_{aff}^- and $\lambda \in P_-^\vee$):

Theorem 4 *Let λ be antidominant in P^\vee and let x and y in $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^-$. Assume that $xt_\lambda = y$. Then*

$$\xi_{\hat{x}} \xi_{t_\lambda} = \xi_{\hat{y}} \quad \text{in } H_*^T(\Omega K).$$

In order to prove Theorem 1 we will need a more general formula that will be proven in the next section. However Magyar's formula was a guide for our proof, and it is sufficient to establish Theorem 1 for G/B (see Remark 3.18).

3 Symmetries in the quantum cohomology

Instead of Magyar's formula, which is true in the full equivariant homology ring $H_*^T(\Omega K)$, we prove a more general formula in the quotient ring $H_*^T(\Omega K)/J_P$. Theorem 1 will be a straightforward application.

We start with the definition of an extended nil Hecke ring $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$, acting on the equivariant homology ring $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ of the extended affine Grassmannian ΩK^{ad} (see section 3.2). This action extends the known action of \mathbb{A}_{Aff} on $H_*^T(\Omega K)$.

3.1 Extended nil Hecke ring

Because of the natural inclusion of Z in $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$, we can let Z act on the weight lattice; the result of the action of $\tau \in Z$ on a weight λ will be simply denoted $\tau(\lambda)$. We have already observed that $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{\tau(i)}$. Recall from [LaSh07, Section 6.1] the definition of the affine nil Hecke ring. It is a non-commutative ring with generators A_i for $i \in I_{\text{Aff}}$ and λ in the weight lattice, subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\mu &= \mu\lambda \\ A_i\lambda &= (s_i \cdot \lambda)A_i + \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \cdot 1 \\ A_iA_i &= 0 \\ A_iA_jA_i \cdots &= A_jA_iA_j \cdots \quad \text{if } s_i s_j s_i \cdots = s_j s_i s_j \cdots \end{aligned}$$

(in the last line, there are the same numbers of factors in all the products). Note that the above set of relations is invariant under the action of $\tau \in Z$, so that τ yields an algebra automorphism of \mathbb{A}_{Aff} .

Definition 3.1 The extended nil Hecke ring $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$ is the smashed product $Z \ltimes \mathbb{A}_{\text{Aff}}$ of \mathbb{A}_{Aff} by Z . As a \mathbb{Z} -module, it is just the tensor product $Z \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\text{Aff}}$. The multiplication is defined by

$$(\tau \otimes a)(\sigma \otimes b) = \tau\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}(a)b.$$

In other words, $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$ is generated by Z and \mathbb{A}_{Aff} , with the relations $\tau a = \tau(a)\tau$.

Proposition 3.2 Let $x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ and write $x = \tau\hat{x}$ with $\tau \in Z$ and $\hat{x} \in W_{\text{aff}}$. Then the map $x \mapsto \tau \otimes \hat{x}$ extends the inclusion of W_{aff} in \mathbb{A}_{Aff} to a multiplicative inclusion $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$. The elements $x \in \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$ for $x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ form a basis (over $\text{Frac}(S)$) of $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$.

Proof. We only need to prove that this map is multiplicative. For $x = \tau\hat{x}$ and $y = \sigma\hat{y}$, we have $xy = \tau\sigma\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y}$ in $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ and the result follows. Since the elements of \mathbb{A}_{Aff} defined by $\hat{x} \in W_{\text{aff}}$ form a basis of \mathbb{A}_{Aff} over $\text{Frac}(S)$, we can deduce the result for $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$. \square

3.2 Equivariant homology of ΩK^{ad}

Recall from [Ma07, Page 17] the definition of the variety ΩK^{ad} of based loops with values in the adjoint group $K^{\text{ad}} = K/Z$. The variety ΩK^{ad} has its connected components indexed by Z . There is an action of T on ΩK^{ad} and the fixed points for this action are indexed by $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}/W$. Define the space LK^{ad} of all loops in $K^{\text{ad}} = K/Z$. We may also (as for ΩK , see [Ma07]) see ΩK^{ad} as the quotient $LK^{\text{ad}}/K^{\text{ad}}$ by writing any loop $f \in LK^{\text{ad}}$ as $f(t) = f(t)f(1)^{-1}f(1) \in \Omega K^{\text{ad}} \cdot K^{\text{ad}}$. In particular, we have a left action of LK^{ad} on ΩK^{ad} . The group Z can be realised as the subgroup of LK^{ad} consisting of the points defined by the loops $(t \mapsto v_i \exp(-2i\pi t \varpi_i^\vee))$ (see

[Ma07, Page 6]). This together with the classical realisation of W_{aff} in LK^{ad} gives a realisation of the extended Weyl group $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ in LK^{ad} . The corresponding elements are precisely the fixed points of the T -action.

The equivariant cohomology ring $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ has a basis indexed by $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^-$: if $\xi_{\widehat{x}}$, with $\widehat{x} \in W_{\text{aff}}^-$, is a class in $H_*^T(\Omega K)$ and τ is an element in Z , then the translation by $\tau \in LK^{\text{ad}}$ in ΩK^{ad} defines a class $\xi_{\tau\widehat{x}} = \tau \cdot \xi_{\widehat{x}}$ in $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$. Note that ξ_{τ} is simply the class $[\tau]$. Following [Ma07, Paragraph 1.2], we have for any $\tau \in Z$ and any $\widehat{x} \in W_{\text{aff}}^-$:

$$\xi_{\tau}\xi_{\widehat{x}} = \xi_{\widehat{x}}\xi_{\tau}.$$

The ring $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ is thus the tensor product ring $Z \otimes H_*^T(\Omega K)$: the product is defined by

$$(\tau \otimes \xi_{\widehat{x}})(\sigma \otimes \xi_{\widehat{y}}) = \tau\sigma \otimes \xi_{\widehat{x}}\xi_{\widehat{y}}.$$

We may define operators A_i acting on the ring $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$, in the same way as they are usually defined as operators on $H_*^T(\Omega K)$. That is, consider $E \rightarrow B$ the universal principal LK^{ad} -bundle. Then LK^{ad} acts on the right on $E \times \Omega K^{\text{ad}}$ by $f \cdot (e, f') = (ef^{-1}, ff')$. In particular, T acts on $E \times \Omega K^{\text{ad}}$ and we may consider the quotient $E \times^T \Omega K^{\text{ad}}$ of $E \times \Omega K^{\text{ad}}$ by T . The equivariant cohomology is defined by $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}}) = H^*(E \times^T \Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ and the equivariant homology is the dual of that space. Now for any vertex $i \in I \cup \{0\}$ of the extended Dynkin diagram, there exists an associated compact subgroup K_i^{ad} of LK^{ad} (see for example [Ma07, Page 15] for the subgroup K_i of LK the group of loops in K ; the group K_i^{ad} is the image of K_i in LK^{ad}). Consider the map $\pi_i : E \times^T \Omega K^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow E \times^{K_i^{\text{ad}}} \Omega K^{\text{ad}}$ and define the operator A_i by integrating on the fibers and pulling-back. By duality this defines an action of A_i on $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$. We may also consider any $s \in S$ as an operator on $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$. It is enough to do this for a weight λ , for which the action is defined by intersection with $c_1(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda})$, where \mathcal{L}_{λ} denotes the line bundle defined by λ . Finally we define the operator τ for any $\tau \in Z$ thanks to the translation by τ in ΩK^{ad} .

Proposition 3.3 *These operators define an action of $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$ on $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ extending the action of \mathbb{A}_{Aff} on $H_*^T(\Omega K)$. This action can be written as follows:*

$$(\tau \otimes a) \cdot (\sigma \otimes \xi) = \tau\sigma \otimes (\sigma^{-1}(a) \cdot \xi).$$

Proof. If the action is well defined, it certainly extends the action of \mathbb{A}_{Aff} on $H_*^T(\Omega K)$. We only need to verify that the commutation relations $\tau A_i \tau^{-1} = A_{\tau(i)}$ and $\tau s \tau^{-1} = \tau(s)$ are satisfied.

For the first one, we only need to remark that the conjugate group $\tau(K_i)\tau^{-1}$ is $K_{\tau(i)}$. For the second one, we may suppose that s is a weight λ . Let \mathcal{L}'_{λ} be the line bundle defined by the twisted action of T on \mathbb{C} given for $t \in T$ by multiplication with $\tau(\lambda)(t)$. The operator $\tau s \tau^{-1}$ is given by the intersection with $c_1(\mathcal{L}'_{\lambda})$. This coincides with the intersection with $c_1(\mathcal{L}_{\tau(\lambda)})$. \square

For $x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$, write $x = \tau\widehat{x}$ with $\tau \in Z$ and $\widehat{x} \in W_{\text{aff}}$. We define the element $\widetilde{A}_x \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$ by $\widetilde{A}_x = \tau \otimes A_{\widehat{x}}$. We also define the length of an element $x = \tau\widehat{x}$ as the number of inverted positive real roots, as in [LaSh07, Section 10.1], so that $l(x) = l(\widehat{x})$.

Proposition 3.4 *The action of $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$ on $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ is given by the following formula*

$$\widetilde{A}_x \cdot \widetilde{\xi}_y = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\xi}_{xy} & \text{if } l(xy) = l(x) + l(y) \text{ and } xy \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^- \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Write $x = \tau\hat{x}$ and $y = \sigma\hat{y}$ with $\tau, \sigma \in Z$ and $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in W_{\text{aff}}$. We have $xy = \tau\sigma\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_x \cdot \tilde{\xi}_y &= (\tau \otimes A_{\hat{x}}) \cdot (\sigma \otimes \xi_y) \\ &= \tau\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}(A_{\hat{x}}) \cdot \xi_y \\ &= \tau\sigma \otimes A_{\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})} \cdot \xi_y.\end{aligned}$$

But we know by a result of Kostant and Kumar [KoKu86] (see also [LaSh07, Section 6.2]) that

$$A_{\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})} \cdot \xi_{\hat{y}} = \begin{cases} \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y}} & \text{if } l(\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y}) = l(\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})) + l(\hat{y}) \text{ and } \sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y} \in W_{\text{aff}}^- \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

thus we have the equality

$$\tilde{A}_x \cdot \tilde{\xi}_y = \begin{cases} \tilde{\xi}_{xy} & \text{if } l(\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y}) = l(\hat{x}) + l(\hat{y}) \text{ and } \sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y} \in W_{\text{aff}}^- \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The equality $l(\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})) = l(\hat{x})$ comes from the fact that σ permutes the simple roots and the formula $l(\hat{x}) = |\{\alpha \in R_{\text{aff}}^+ / \hat{x}(\alpha) < 0\}|$. Moreover, since $xy = \tau\sigma\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y}$, we know that xy is in $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^-$ if and only if $\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y}$ is in W_{aff}^- . Furthermore, $l(\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y}) = l(xy)$ and $l(x) + l(y) = l(\hat{x}) + l(\hat{y})$ so that the condition $l(\sigma^{-1}(\hat{x})\hat{y}) = l(\hat{x}) + l(\hat{y})$ is equivalent to the condition $l(xy) = l(x) + l(y)$. \square

Remark 3.5 There exist, a priori, two actions of the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ on $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$: one is given by the embedding of $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$. The other one is simply defined on $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ by the operators R_x^* induced, for $x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$, by the right multiplication R_x in $E \times^T \Omega K^{\text{ad}}$, by x considered as an element of $\subset LK^{\text{ad}}$. This right multiplication operator is well defined because $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ normalises T . It is a classical (but non trivial) result that these two actions coincide for the classical affine Weyl group W_{aff} (see [Ku02, Theorem 11.3.9]). Since the action of τ on a class ξ_x is given by $\xi_{\tau x}$ (see Proposition 3.4), which is also the class obtained by right translation on $E^{\text{ad}} \times^T \Omega K^{\text{ad}}$, these two actions of $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ coincide as well.

3.3 The map j^{ad}

We want to generalise Theorem 6.2 in [LaSh07]. For this we need to consider another basis of the ring $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ given by T -fixed points in ΩK^{ad} . Recall that these fixed points are indexed by $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}/W$ and that good representatives are given by P^\vee by

$$p_{t_\lambda} = (t \mapsto \exp(2i\pi t\lambda)) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P^\vee.$$

Furthermore, for λ and μ in P^\vee , these fixed points satisfy $p_{t_\lambda}p_{t_\mu} = p_{t_\lambda t_\mu}$ and the affine Weyl group action gives $x \cdot p_{t_\lambda} = p_{xt_\lambda}$.

Definition 3.6 Let $\tilde{\psi}_{t_\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in P^\vee$ be the element in $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ defined by

$$\tilde{\psi}_{t_\lambda} = i_\lambda^* : H_T^*(\Omega K^{\text{ad}}) \rightarrow S,$$

where i_λ denotes the inclusion of the T -fixed point p_λ in ΩK^{ad} .

The fact that the elements $\tilde{\psi}_{t_\lambda}$, for $\lambda \in P^\vee$, form a basis of $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ over $\text{Frac}(S)$, comes from the same statement for compact spaces (see [Ku02, Theorem C8, page 537]), and the fact that the extended affine Grassmannian, as well as the affine Grassmannian, is an increasing union of compact T -stable finite dimensional Hausdorff subspaces.

Proposition 3.7 *We have the following formulas:*

$$\tilde{\psi}_{t_\lambda} \tilde{\psi}_{t_\mu} = \tilde{\psi}_{t_{\lambda+\mu}} \quad \text{and} \quad x \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{t_\lambda} = \tilde{\psi}_{xt_\lambda}$$

for any λ and μ in P^\vee and any x in \tilde{W}_{aff} .

Proof. These formulas are immediate consequences of the identities $p_{t_\lambda} p_{t_\mu} = p_{t_{\lambda+\mu}}$ and $x \cdot p_{t_\lambda} = p_{xt_\lambda}$. \square

We are now in position to generalise [LaSh07, Theorem 6.2]. We will denote by $Z_{\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}}(S)$ the centraliser of S in $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$. We also define the ideal \tilde{J} in $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$ by

$$\tilde{J} = \sum_{w \in W \setminus \{\text{id}\}} \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}(1 \otimes A_w).$$

Proposition 3.8 *There is an S -algebra isomorphism $j^{\text{ad}} : H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}}) \rightarrow Z_{\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}}(S)$ such that for any x and y in \tilde{W}_{aff}^- we have $j^{\text{ad}}(\tilde{\xi}_x) = \tilde{A}_x \bmod \tilde{J}$ and $j^{\text{ad}}(\tilde{\xi}_x) \cdot \tilde{\xi}_y = \tilde{\xi}_x \tilde{\xi}_y$.*

Proof. This extends the similar result in [La06], whose proof we follow. We define j^{ad} by letting $j^{\text{ad}}(\tilde{\psi}_{t_\lambda}) = t_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in P^\vee$, and extending by $\text{Frac}(S)$ -linearity. Proposition 3.7 shows that it is an S -algebra morphism. The formula $x \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t = \tilde{\psi}_{xt}$ gives

$$j^{\text{ad}}(\tilde{\psi}_{t_\lambda}) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{t_\mu} = \tilde{\psi}_{t_\lambda t_\mu} = \tilde{\psi}_{t_\lambda} \tilde{\psi}_{t_\mu}$$

which, since the elements $\tilde{\psi}_{t_\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in P^\vee$ form a basis of $H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$, implies the identity $j^{\text{ad}}(\tilde{\xi}_x) \cdot \tilde{\xi}_y = \tilde{\xi}_x \tilde{\xi}_y$.

To prove that the image is contained in $Z_{\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}}(S)$, consider the action $t_\lambda \cdot (\alpha + n\delta) = (\alpha + n\delta - \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \delta) t_\lambda$ where α is a root and $\delta = \alpha_0 + \theta$ is the imaginary root. As the image of δ in S is zero, the commutation relation follows.

We have $j^{\text{ad}}(\tilde{\xi}_x) \cdot \tilde{\xi}_{\text{id}} = \tilde{\xi}_x \tilde{\xi}_{\text{id}} = \tilde{\xi}_x$ for $x \in \tilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^-$. This implies that $j^{\text{ad}}(\tilde{\xi}_x) = \tilde{A}_x + a$ where a lies in the annihilator of $\tilde{\xi}_{\text{id}} \in H_*^T(\Omega K^{\text{ad}})$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$. This annihilator is clearly the ideal \tilde{J} .

Finally, let $a = \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}_{\text{aff}}} a_x x$ be an element in $Z_{\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}}(S)$, then we have for $v \in S$

$$av = \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}_{\text{aff}}} a_x x(v)x = va = \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}_{\text{aff}}} a_x vx.$$

In particular $a_x = 0$ for all x such that $x(v) \neq v$ for some $v \in S$. But recall that the action of $w \in W$ is given by $w(\alpha + n\delta) = w(\alpha) + n\delta$ and because δ is sent to zero, this equals α if and only if $w = \text{id}$. In particular x has to be a translation, which exactly means it belongs to the image of j^{ad} . \square

3.4 Generalised Magyar's formula

In this subsection, we generalise Magyar's formula to the quotient $H_*^T(\Omega K)/J_P$. This formula, as for Magyar's formula, is a generalisation to coweights of the localisation formula of Proposition 2.2. Let us first introduce some definitions.

Definition 3.9 We define the subset $(\tilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$ of \tilde{W}_{aff} as follows : for $x = \tau \hat{x}$ with $\tau \in Z$ and $\hat{x} \in W_{\text{aff}}$, $x \in (\tilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$ if $\hat{x} \in (W^P)_{\text{aff}}$.

Lemma 3.10 Define $(R_P)_{\text{aff}}^+ = \{\alpha + n\delta \in R_{\text{aff}}^+ \mid \alpha \in R_P\}$, then we have

$$(\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}} = \{x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}} \mid x(\beta) > 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in (R_P)_{\text{aff}}^+\}$$

Proof. Recall from [LaSh07, Section 10.3], that the condition in the lemma defines $(W^P)_{\text{aff}}$ in W_{aff} . The extension to $(\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$ follows from the fact that Z stabilises the cone of positive roots. \square

For an element $x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$, we write $x = \tau\widehat{x}$ with $\tau \in Z$ and $\widehat{x} \in W_{\text{aff}}$. We need to compare the properties of x and \widehat{x} . We first compare their expressions as products $x = wt_\lambda$ with $\lambda \in P^\vee$, and $\widehat{x} = vt_\mu$ with $\mu \in Q^\vee$.

Lemma 3.11 Let $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in P^\vee$. Write $\lambda = -\varpi_{i(\lambda)}^\vee + \widehat{\lambda}$, where $\widehat{\lambda} \in Q^\vee$ and $i(\lambda) \in I_m$. Then

$$wt_\lambda = \tau_{i(\lambda)} v_{f(i(\lambda))} wt_{\lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee)},$$

where $\lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee)$ is in Q^\vee .

Proof. We know that there exist an index j , an element $u \in W$ and an element $x \in Q^\vee$ such that $wt_\lambda = \tau_j u t_x$. We then get the equalities $u = v_j^{-1}w$ and $\mu = \lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(j)}^\vee)$. But μ has to be in Q^\vee , hence $f(j) = f(i(\lambda))$ and thus $j = i(\lambda)$. \square

Let $\lambda \in P^\vee$ and $w \in W$. Write $wt_\lambda = \tau_{i(\lambda)} v_{f(i(\lambda))} wt_{\lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee)}$ with $\widehat{\lambda} \in Q^\vee$.

Lemma 3.12 For any positive root $\alpha \in R^+$ of the finite root system, we have the equality

$$\chi(w(\alpha) < 0) + \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = \chi(v_{f(i(\lambda))} w(\alpha) < 0) + \langle \lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee), \alpha \rangle,$$

where $\chi(A) = 1$ if A is true and $\chi(A) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. Let us consider the following four cases:

1. $w(\alpha) > 0$ and $\langle \varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee, w(\alpha) \rangle = 1$;
2. $w(\alpha) > 0$ and $\langle \varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee, w(\alpha) \rangle = 0$;
3. $w(\alpha) < 0$ and $\langle \varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee, w(\alpha) \rangle = -1$;
4. $w(\alpha) < 0$ and $\langle \varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee, w(\alpha) \rangle = 0$.

According to these cases and setting $u = v_{f(i(\lambda))}w$ and $\mu = \lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee)$, we have:

1. $u(\alpha) < 0$ and $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle - 1$;
2. $u(\alpha) > 0$ and $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle$;
3. $u(\alpha) > 0$ and $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle + 1$;
4. $u(\alpha) < 0$ and $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle$.

The statement can then be checked case by case. \square

This lemma gives a generalisation to the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ of the length formula given in [LaSh07, Lemma 3.1]:

Corollary 3.13 *Let $wt_\lambda \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ with $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in P^\vee$. Then*

$$l(wt_\lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} |\chi(w(\alpha) < 0) + \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle|.$$

Proof. The formula $wt_\lambda = \tau_{i(\lambda)} v_{f(i(\lambda))} wt_{\lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee)}$ implies that

$$l(wt_\lambda) = l(v_{f(i(\lambda))} wt_{\lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee)}),$$

and [LaSh07, Lemma 3.1] gives the formula

$$l(v_{f(i(\lambda))} wt_{\lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee)}) = \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} |\chi(v_{f(i(\lambda))} w(\alpha) < 0) + \langle \lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee), \alpha \rangle|.$$

We conclude thanks to the previous lemma. \square

The previous lemma also provides a criterion, for an element $x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$, to be such that $\widehat{x} \in (W^P)_{\text{aff}}$. More precisely let $wt_\lambda \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$. Write once more $wt_\lambda = \tau_{i(\lambda)} v_{f(i(\lambda))} wt_{\lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee)}$.

Corollary 3.14 *The element $v_{f(i(\lambda))} wt_{\lambda - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i(\lambda))}^\vee)}$ is in $(W^P)_{\text{aff}}$ if and only if, for any root $\alpha \in R_P^+$,*

$$\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w(\alpha) > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } w(\alpha) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This condition is exactly the condition for an element $wt_\lambda \in W_{\text{aff}}$ to be in $(W^P)_{\text{aff}}$, see Lemma 10.1 in [LaSh07]. But remark that this condition is equivalent to

$$\chi(w(\alpha) < 0) + \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 0$$

for all $\alpha \in R_P^+$. We conclude once more by the previous lemma. \square

Corollary 3.15 *An element wt_λ with $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in P^\vee$ is in $(\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$ if and only if, for any root $\alpha \in R_P^+$,*

$$\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w(\alpha) > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } w(\alpha) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is an immediate application of Corollary 3.14. \square

The map $\pi_P : W_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow (W^P)_{\text{aff}}$ extends to $\widetilde{\pi}_P : \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow (\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$ by setting $\pi_P(x) = \tau \pi_P(\widehat{x})$ for $x = \tau \widehat{x}$. Many results of [LaSh07, Paragraph 10] on $(W^P)_{\text{aff}}$ extend readily to $(\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$. This is the case of Lemma 3.1 (see Corollary 3.13), Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 and Lemmas 10.2 to 10.6. The definition of the extension $\widetilde{\pi}_P$ of π_P ensures that the results of Proposition 10.8 in [LaSh07] remain true in our setting.

Furthermore, we extend the definition of the ideal J_P by defining the following ideal \widetilde{J}_P of $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{Aff}}$:

$$\widetilde{J}_P = \sum_{x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^- \setminus (\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}} S \widetilde{\xi}_x.$$

This is indeed an ideal because $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^-$ and $(\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$ are stable under left multiplication by Z .

Proposition 3.16 *For all $x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^- \cap (\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$ and $\lambda \in P_-^\vee$, we have $x\pi_P(t_\lambda) \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^- \cap (\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$ and*

$$\xi_{\widehat{x}} \xi_{\pi_P(t_\lambda)} = \xi_{x\pi_P(t_\lambda)} \mod J_P.$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Proposition 2.2 by Lam and Shimozono (see [LaSh07, Proposition 10.11]). Lemma 10.10 in [LaSh07] extends readily to

Lemma 3.17 *Let $\lambda \in P^\vee$ be antidominant. Then $(1 \otimes A_i) \cdot \widetilde{\xi}_{\pi_P(t_\lambda)} = 0 \mod \widetilde{J}_P$ for all $i \in I$.*

In particular $\widetilde{J} \cdot \xi_{\pi_P(t_\lambda)} = 0 \mod \widetilde{J}_P$. Now we can apply Proposition 3.8 to get the identity:

$$\widetilde{\xi}_x \widetilde{\xi}_{\pi_P(t_\lambda)} = \widetilde{A}_x \cdot \widetilde{\xi}_{\pi_P(t_\lambda)} \mod \widetilde{J}_P.$$

Let us prove that the product $x\pi_P(t_\lambda)$ is length additive. We have seen that Proposition 10.8 of [LaSh07] extends to $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ and $(\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$. In particular $x = w\pi_P(t_\nu)$ for $w \in W^P$ and $\nu \in P^\vee$ antidominant and we have $x\pi_P(t_\lambda) = w\pi_P(t_\nu)\pi_P(t_\lambda) = w\pi_P(t_{\nu+\lambda})$. By [LaSh07, Lemma 3.3], because x and $x\pi_P(t_\lambda)$ are in $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^-$, we have $l(x) = l(\pi_P(t_\nu)) - l(w)$ and $l(x\pi_P(t_\lambda)) = l(\pi_P(t_{\nu+\lambda})) - l(w)$. We only need to prove that $l(\pi_P(t_{\nu+\lambda})) = l(\pi_P(t_\nu)) + l(\pi_P(t_\lambda))$. Because Lemmas 3.3, 10.3 and 10.6 of [LaSh07] extend to coweights, the same proof as for coroots in [LaSh07] gives the additivity. By proposition 3.4, we thus have the formula $\widetilde{\xi}_x \widetilde{\xi}_{\pi_P(t_\lambda)} = \widetilde{\xi}_{x\pi_P(t_\lambda)} \mod \widetilde{J}_P$. But writing $x = \tau\widehat{x}$, $\pi_P(t_\lambda) = \sigma\widehat{\pi_P(t_\lambda)}$ and $x\pi_P(t_\lambda) = \tau\sigma\widehat{x\pi_P(t_\lambda)}$, this implies the equality $(\tau \otimes \xi_{\widehat{x}})(\sigma \otimes \xi_{\widehat{\pi_P(t_\lambda)}}) = (\tau\sigma \otimes \xi_{\widehat{x\pi_P(t_\lambda)}}) \mod \widetilde{J}_P$ and thus $\xi_{\widehat{x}} \xi_{\widehat{\pi_P(t_\lambda)}} = \xi_{\widehat{x\pi_P(t_\lambda)}} \mod J_P$.

Finally let us prove that $x\pi_P(t_\lambda)$ belongs to $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^- \cap (\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$. Since $x \in (\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$, we have $x\pi_P(t_\lambda) = \pi_P(x)\pi_P(t_\lambda) = \pi_P(xt_\lambda)$ (from the generalisation of [LaSh07, Proposition 10.8] to $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$). But $x \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$ and $\lambda \in P_-^\vee$, thus, by the generalisation of [LaSh07, Lemma 3.3] to $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$, we get that $xt_\lambda \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^-$. Thanks, once more, to the generalisation of [LaSh07, Proposition 10.8] to $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}$, we can then deduce the result. \square

3.5 Application to symmetries

We are now in position to prove Theorem 1 for any G/P . Let w be an element of the Weyl group W , let ϖ_i^\vee be a minuscule coweight and let μ and ν be in Q^\vee . We begin with the formulas:

$$t_{-\varpi_i^\vee - \mu} = \tau_i v_{f(i)} t_{-(\varpi_i^\vee + \varpi_{f(i)}^\vee + \mu)} \text{ and } wt_{-\nu} t_{-\varpi_i^\vee - \mu} = \tau_i v_{f(i)} w t_{-(\varpi_i^\vee + w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i)}^\vee) + \mu + \nu)}.$$

Applying the map π_P , we get the equalities $\pi_P(t_{-\varpi_i^\vee - \mu}) = \tau_i \pi_P(v_{f(i)}) \pi_P(t_{-(\varpi_i^\vee + \varpi_{f(i)}^\vee + \mu)})$ and $\pi_P(wt_{-\nu} t_{-\varpi_i^\vee - \mu}) = \tau_i \pi_P(v_{f(i)} w) \pi_P(t_{-(\varpi_i^\vee + w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i)}^\vee) + \mu + \nu)})$. For μ and ν dominant enough, the elements $wt_{-\nu}$, $v_{f(i)} t_{-(\varpi_i^\vee + \varpi_{f(i)}^\vee + \mu)}$ and $v_{f(i)} w t_{-(\varpi_i^\vee + w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i)}^\vee) + \mu + \nu)}$ all belong to W_{aff}^- , and their images by π_P are in $(W^P)_{\text{aff}} \cap W_{\text{aff}}^-$. We may thus apply Proposition 3.16, and we obtain the following formula:

$$\xi_{\pi_P(w)\pi_P(t_{-\nu})} \xi_{\pi_P(v_{f(i)})\pi_P(t_{-(\varpi_i^\vee + \varpi_{f(i)}^\vee + \mu)})} = \xi_{\pi_P(v_{f(i)} w)\pi_P(t_{-(\varpi_i^\vee + w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i)}^\vee) + \mu + \nu)})} \mod J_P.$$

Applying Peterson's map of Theorem 3, we get the corresponding formula in the quantum cohomology ring:

$$\sigma^P(w) q_{-\eta_P(\nu)} * \sigma^P(v_{f(i)}) q_{-\eta_P((\varpi_i^\vee + \varpi_{f(i)}^\vee + \mu))} = \sigma^P(v_{f(i)} w) q_{-\eta_P((\varpi_i^\vee + w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i)}^\vee) + \mu + \nu))},$$

hence finally:

$$\sigma^P(w) * \sigma^P(v_{f(i)}) = q_{\eta_P(\varpi_{f(i)}^\vee - w^{-1}(\varpi_{f(i)}^\vee))} \sigma^P(v_{f(i)} w).$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 3.18 As a final remark in this section, let us explain briefly why Magyar's formula is not sufficient to prove Theorem 1 in full generality. The point is that, in order to apply this formula, we need an element $t_\lambda \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^- \cap (\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$. But Corollary 3.14 implies the following fact:

Fact 3.19 *A translation t_λ , with $\lambda \in P^\vee$, is in $\widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}^- \cap (\widetilde{W}^P)_{\text{aff}}$ if and only if $\lambda \in P_-^\vee$ and $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R_P^+$.*

In particular, if P is a maximal parabolic subgroup associated to a cominuscule coweight ϖ_i^\vee (equivalently, if $I_P = \{i\}$ for some i in I_m), this implies that λ needs to be a multiple of ϖ_i^\vee . But then Magyar's formula gives the Theorem 1 only for multiples of $v_{f(i)}$. The corresponding symmetries of the quantum cohomology ring are those generated by the quantum product with the punctual class $[\text{pt}]$, which were described in [ChMaPe06]. These symmetries do not generate the full group Z in general.

However, as we already mentioned, if the parabolic subgroup P is a Borel subgroup, there is no restriction on $\lambda \in P_-^\vee$. In this case our Theorem 1 follows from Magyar's formula.

4 Seidel's representation

In this section, we prove Theorem 2. That is, we prove that $\sigma^P(v_{f(i)})$ is the invertible element $S(\omega_i^\vee)$ of the (localized) quantum cohomology ring, corresponding to $-\omega_i^\vee \in Z = \pi_1(G^{\text{ad}})$ through Seidel's representation. As we recalled in the introduction, we use the integer-valued definition given in [McDSa04, Example 8.6.8]. Let us recall Seidel's construction.

Since G is simply-connected, the coweight lattice parametrise 1-parameter subgroups of T , our preferred maximal torus of G (which is contained in P). Let $\mathbb{C}^* \subset T$ correspond to ω_i^\vee . The image of $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^* \subset T \subset G \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}}$ defines an element of $\pi_1(G^{\text{ad}})$ which will be denoted $\pi_1(\omega_i^\vee)$.

We now set $M_i = (\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}) \times^{\mathbb{C}^*} G/P$. There is a natural map $\pi : M_i \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^* \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. The fibers of π are isomorphic with G/P . As in [Se97], we denote TM_i^v the vertical tangent space, that is, the kernel of the differential $d\pi$ in TM_i . Choose a point $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and if \mathcal{S} is a space of sections of π , denote by $ev : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(z) \simeq G/P$ the evaluation map at z . In the following proposition, k denotes the number of roots α in the unipotent radical of P such that $\langle \omega_i^\vee, \alpha \rangle > 0$.

Proposition 4.1 *For any section s of π , one has $\deg(TM_i^v)(s) \geq -k$. Moreover, let \mathcal{S} denote the space of sections s of π such that $\deg(TM_i^v)(s) = -k$. Then $ev_*[\mathcal{S}] \in H_*(G/P)$ is the class $\sigma^P(v_{f(i)})$ of a homogeneous Schubert variety.*

We postpone the proof to the end of the section. Let us first investigate the geometry of M_i in more details. For λ a W_P -invariant element of the root lattice, we denote by \mathcal{L}_λ the associated G -linearised bundle on G/P . Moreover, if \mathcal{L} is any G -linearised bundle, we denote \mathcal{L}^i the bundle $\mathcal{L} \times^{\mathbb{C}^*} (\mathbb{C}^2 - 0)$ over M_i . Let us finally denote $\lambda_P = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+ - \Phi_P} \alpha$.

Proposition 4.2 *We have $\det(TM_i^v) = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_P}^i$.*

Proof. Let $\eta : G/P \times (\mathbb{C}^2 - 0) \rightarrow M_i$ denote the quotient map, and let $p_1 : G/P \times (\mathbb{C}^2 - 0) \rightarrow G/P$ denote the first projection. Since η is a submersion, it induces an isomorphism of vector bundles $p_1^*TG/P \simeq \eta^*TM_i^v$. Moreover, this isomorphism commutes with the \mathbb{C}^* -action, so that it induces an isomorphism $TG/P \times^{\mathbb{C}^*} (\mathbb{C}^2 - 0) \simeq TM_i^v$. We deduce that $\det(TM_i^v) \simeq \det(TG/P) \times^{\mathbb{C}^*} (\mathbb{C}^2 - 0) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_P}^i$. \square

Corollary 4.3 *For $s : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow M_i$ any section of π , one has $\deg s^* \det(TM_i^v) \geq -k$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} = \det(TM_i^v) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(k)$: we will show that \mathcal{N} is nef. This implies that for any map $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow M_i$, $\deg f^*\mathcal{N} \geq 0$. Hence for any section s of π we deduce that $\deg s^* \det(TM_i^v) \geq -k$.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_u$ be the weights of the G -module $\Gamma(G/P, \det(TG/P))$, counted with multiplicities (so that $u = \dim \Gamma(G/P, \det(TG/P))$). Since $\pi_* \det(TM_i^v) = \Gamma(G/P, \det(TG/P)) \times^{\mathbb{C}^*} (\mathbb{C}^2 - 0)$, we get

$$\pi_* \mathcal{N} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}(\langle \lambda_j, \omega_i^\vee \rangle + k). \quad (1)$$

For the lowest weight $-\lambda_P$ of $\Gamma(G/P, \det(TG/P))$, we have $\langle -\lambda_P, \omega_i^\vee \rangle = -k$. Therefore all the line bundles in (1) have non-negative degree. But then \mathcal{N} is base-point free, hence nef. This concludes the proof. \square

Since $\det(TM_i^v)$ is relatively ample, we get an embedding $M_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\pi_* \mathcal{N})^\vee$. Let us decompose $\Gamma(G/P, \det(TG/P))$ as $\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} E_j$, where our \mathbb{C}^* has weight $j - k$ on E_j . We have an inclusion

$$(G/P \cap \mathbb{P}E_0^\vee) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset M_i \subset \mathbb{P}(\pi_* \mathcal{N})^\vee.$$

Proposition 4.4 *If s is a section of π such that $\deg s^* \det(TM_i^v) = -k$, there exists $x_0 \in G/P \cap \mathbb{P}E_0^\vee$ such that $s(u) = (u, x_0) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times (G/P \cap \mathbb{P}E_0^\vee)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. The space of sections of \mathcal{N} identifies with $\bigoplus E_j \otimes S^j \mathbb{C}^2$. Let $f : M_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\bigoplus E_j \otimes S^j \mathbb{C}^2)$ be the morphism defined by \mathcal{N} . Since $f^*\mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{N}$, $\deg s^* \det(TM_i^v) = -k$ implies that $f \circ s$ is constant.

Note that for $u \neq v \in \mathbb{P}^1$, we have $f(\pi^{-1}(u)) \cap f(\pi^{-1}(v)) \subset \mathbb{P}E_0^\vee$. Since $f \circ s$ is constant, this implies that for all $u \in \mathbb{P}^1$, $s(u)$ belongs to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}E_0^\vee$ and that the induced morphism $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}E_0^\vee$ is constant. The proposition is proved. \square

Proposition 4.1 is a consequence of the last two results and the following lemma 4.5 (in fact, we still have to prove that \mathcal{S} is reduced; this will be a consequence of the following argument).

Let us compute the constant term of $S(\omega_i^\vee)$, the Seidel element corresponding to the loop ω_i^\vee . With the notations of [McDSa04], this is $S_0(\pi)$ where $\pi : M_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is our fibration. According to the remark before [Se97, Proposition 7.11], we can compute $S_0(\pi)$ as the push-forward $(ev_{z_0})_* \mathcal{S}$ of the space \mathcal{S} of sections of π of degree $-k$; by proposition 4.1 we deduce $S_0(\pi) = d \cdot \sigma^P(v_{f(i)})$, where $d \geq 1$, with $d > 1$ in case \mathcal{S} is not reduced.

We can now easily complete the proof of Theorem 2. We can write any class $x \in QH^*(G/P)$ as $x = \sum x_{w,I} \sigma^P(w) q^I$ for some integers $x_{w,I}$. We let $|x| := \sum x_{w,I}$. By formula [McDSa04, 8.6.4] and since M_i is an algebraic variety, all the coefficients $S(\omega_i^\vee)_{w,I}$ are non-negative. Moreover we know that $S(\omega_i^\vee)_{v_{f(i)},0} = d$. From Theorem 1, and since the product of any two effective classes is again effective, it follows that for any effective class x in $QH^*(G/P)$, we have $|x * S(\omega_i^\vee)| \geq |x|$. Since $S(\omega_i^\vee)$ is unipotent, this forces $|S(\omega_i^\vee)| = 1$, hence $S(\omega_i^\vee) = \sigma^P(v_{f(i)})$. In particular $d = 1$, which also completes the proof of proposition 4.1.

All that remains to prove is the following claim:

Lemma 4.5 *Let \mathcal{L} be a very ample homogeneous line bundle on G/P . Let $G/P \subset \mathbb{P}\Gamma(G/P, \mathcal{L})^\vee$ be the corresponding embedding. Let $E_0 \subset \Gamma(G/P, \mathcal{L})$ be the lowest weight space for a one parameter subgroup of G corresponding to a simple root α_i , and $P_i \subset G$ the corresponding parabolic subgroup. Then $(G/P \cap \mathbb{P}E_0^\vee)_{red}$ is the closed P_i -orbit in G/P ; its homology class is $\sigma^P(v_{f(i)})$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \Gamma(G/P, \mathcal{L})^\vee$ be the highest weight line. Then $x \in G/P$. Moreover, $\Gamma(G/P, \mathcal{L})^\vee$ is generated as a vector space by $U(\mathfrak{g}).x$, where $U(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Consider $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha: \langle \alpha, \omega_i^\vee \rangle = 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}_i = Lie(P_i)$; let $L \subset G$ be the corresponding subgroup.

By definition of E_0 , its dual E_0^\vee is generated by the lines $U(\mathfrak{l}).x$. This implies that E_0^\vee is an irreducible L -module.

The closed L -orbit $L.x$ is contained in $G/P \cap \mathbb{P}E_0^\vee$. We claim that they are equal. In fact, let \mathcal{O} be any L -orbit in $G/P \cap \mathbb{P}E_0^\vee$. We have $L.x \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Moreover,

$$T_x L.x = \bigoplus_{\langle \alpha, \omega_i^\vee \rangle = 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha . x = T_x \overline{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Thus $L.x = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

Finally, in order to identify the Schubert class $[L.x]$, we consider the incidence diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/(P \cap P_i) & \rightarrow & G/P \\ \downarrow p_i & & \\ G/P_i & & \end{array}$$

Let $w \in W_{P_i}$ be the element corresponding to a fiber of p_i (that is, the minimum length representative of the class modulo W_P of the longest element in W_{P_i}), and let $v^{P \cap P_i} \in W$ represent the open orbit in $G/(P \cap P_i)$. The element in W^{P_i} corresponding to the open orbit in G/P_i is v_i , and therefore we have the relation $v^{P \cap P_i} = v_i w$, which implies, since $v_i^{-1} = v_{f(i)}$, the relation $w = v_{f(i)} v^{P \cap P_i}$. Let F_i denote a fiber of p_i in $G/(P \cap P_i)$. We therefore have, by definition, $[F] = \sigma^{P \cap P_i}(v_{f(i)})$. Since the projection $G/(P \cap P_i) \rightarrow G/P$ restricts to an isomorphism on F_i , we deduce that $[L.x] = \sigma^P(v_{f(i)})$. \square

References

- [Bo54] Bourbaki N., *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Hermann 1954.
- [ChMaPe06] Chaput P.E., Manivel L., Perrin N., *Quantum cohomology of minuscule homogeneous spaces II : Hidden symmetries*, Int Math Res Notices (2007) Vol. 2007.
- [ChMaPe07] Chaput P.E., Manivel L., Perrin N., *Quantum cohomology of minuscule homogeneous spaces III : semi-simplicity and consequences*, arXiv:math.AG/0710.1224.
- [KoKu86] Kostant B., Kumar S., *The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G* , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **83** (1986), no. 6, 1543–1545.
- [Ku02] Kumar S., *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*. Progress in Mathematics, 204. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.

- [La06] Lam T., *Schubert polynomials for the affine Grassmannian*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), 259–281.
- [LaSh07] Lam T., Shimozono M., *Quantum cohomology of G/P and homology of affine Grassmannian*, arXiv:0705.1386.
- [Ma07] Magyar P., *Notes on Schubert classes of a loop group*, arXiv:0705.3826.
- [McDSa04] McDuff, D., Salamon, D., *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, **52**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. xii+669 pp.
- [Mi06] Mihalcea L., *On equivariant quantum cohomology of homogeneous spaces: Chevalley formulae and algorithms*, Duke Math. J. **140** (2007), 321–350.
- [Pe97] Peterson D., *Quantum cohomology of G/P* , MIT Lectures, 1997.
- [Po00] Postnikov A., *Symmetries of Gromov-Witten invariants*, in Advances in algebraic geometry motivated by physics (Lowell, MA, 2000), 251–258, Contemp. Math. **276**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [Po05] Postnikov, A., *Affine approach to quantum Schubert calculus*. Duke Math. J. **128** (2005), no. 3, 473–509.
- [Se97] Seidel P., π_1 of symplectic automorphism groups and invertibles in quantum homology rings, Geom. Funct. Anal. **7** (1997), no. 6, 1046–1095.

Pierre-Emmanuel CHAPUT, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, UMR 6629 du CNRS, UFR Sciences et Techniques, 2 rue de la Houssinière, BP 92208, 44322 Nantes cedex 03, France.
email: pierre-emmanuel.chaput@math.univ-nantes.fr

Laurent MANIVEL, Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 du CNRS, Université de Grenoble I, BP 74, 38402 Saint-Martin d’Hères, France.
email: Laurent.Manivel@ujf-grenoble.fr

Nicolas PERRIN, Institut de Mathématiques, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Case 247, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 PARIS Cedex 05, France and Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, Universität Bonn, Landwirtschaftskammer (Neubau) Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany.
email: nperrin@math.jussieu.fr